



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,337	09/28/2001	Hirokazu Kondo	Q66004	2330
7590 12/14/2005 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202			EXAMINER	
			SAJOUS, WESNER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2/7/	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/964,337	KONDO, HIROKAZU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sajous Wesner	2676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirgoid apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Octoor</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-24 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8,10,13,14,16,17,19,20,22 and 23 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9,12,15,18,21 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. I is/are allowed.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal l 6) Other:	/ (PTO-413) late Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is responsive to the response filed on 10/3/05. Claims 1-6, and 8-24 are presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 9, 12 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spaulding et al. (US 6269184) in view of Kakutani (US 6215561).

Considering claims 9 and 24, Spaulding discloses a color reproduction characteristic display apparatus for displaying color reproduction characteristics wherein an association between coordinates of a first color space, said first color space being device-dependent and defining a color on image data and coordinates of a second color space, said second color space being device-independent and defining a color on an image, said first and second color spaces and associated coordinates being defined in accordance with a device for mediating between the image data and the image (see

Art Unit: 2676

abstract, lines 51-65 of column 3, lines 1-30 of column 4 and lines 5-13 of column 5; it is noted that color space transformation of input and output data values can be deviceindependent color space such as a CIELAB color space); a range designation section for designating a desired coordinate range in said first color space in accordance with an operation ("Second, the user is given the choice of manually choosing specific input color values to be mapped to specific output color values" see lines 64-67 of column 4 and lines 5-13 of column 5; also see lines 54-57 of column 5). It is noted that while the claim recites coordinate, it is clear that the values of the color space representing the same (see lines 1-10 of column 4). Thus, Spaulding meets the claimed limitation. In addition, Spaulding discloses an image display section (item 50 of fig. 3) for displaying a color reproduction image in which there are plotted coordinate points on said second color space associated with coordinates within the coordinate range designated by said range designation section of coordinates of lattice points (as depicted in figs. 5[A-C], wherein the lattice indices would be determined by the input control values and the position of the nodes would be determined by the default mapping, see lines 33-50 of column 7 in view of lines 5-29 of column 6 and lines 25-34 of column 3. The arrows in FIG. 5B represent the direction and distance that the corresponding color values in the output space have been moved when the user designates the constraints by picking the corresponding color values in the output space, as set forth in claim 24).

Spaulding lacks the teaching of a first color space is partitioned as a lattice (e.g., points).

Application/Control Number: 09/964,337

Art Unit: 2676

Kakutani discloses a first color space is partitioned as a lattice (see col. 19 line 62 to col. 20, line 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the color conversion method of Spaulding to include the partitioning of a first color space into lattices in the same conventional manner as taught by Kakutani; so that the color of an input color original read with the scanner (or device-dependent) is made identical with the color of an output color image printed on a printing medium (e.g., device-independent) with the color printer. See Kakutani's col. 19, lines 36-38.

Regarding claim 12, Spaulding fails to disclose plotting a three-dimensional room around said color reproduction image.

Kakutani, at fig. 6, discloses plotting a three-dimensional room around said color reproduction image (while the claim broadly recites three-dimensional room, it is clear that the lattice reproduction image using three-dimensional color space meets the limitation). See col. 19, lines 26-30, and claim 9 for reason of obviousness.

4. Claim 15, 18 and 2 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spaulding and Kakutani as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Takizawa et al. (US 5,625,762; refer to as Takizawa herein).

Regarding claims 15, 18 and 2 1, Spaulding and Kakutani fail to teach at least two different types of rotation for posturing the image include i) follow rotation and ii) absolute rotation; wherein said follow rotation comprises displaying a color image which

Art Unit: 2676

is rotated by a rotary angle according to an operating amount around an axis in accordance with an operation and said absolute rotation comprises displaying a color image of beginning at an initial state and the rotation around various axes are performed in a named order.

Takizawa discloses the equivalence for two different types of rotation for posturing the image include i) follow rotation and ii) absolute rotation; wherein said follow rotation comprises displaying a color image which is rotated by a rotary angle according to an operating amount around an axis in accordance with an operation and said absolute rotation comprises displaying a color image of beginning at an initial state and the rotation around various axes are performed in a named order (see line 50 of column 5 to line 41 of column 6 and Fig. 2-4; the user is able to input parameters for rotation angle (follow rotation) and rotation axes (absolute rotation) and the initial stage of projection of the color values corresponds to the beginning of the initial state of the rotation). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Takizawa to provide the advantage of manipulate and process color space values without requiring vast steps of computations (lines 49-67 of column 2 of Takizawa).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-6, 8, 10-1 1, 13-14, 16-17, 19-20 and 22-23 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject rnatter:

Prior art references do not anticipate or suggest the limitation wherein said image display section displays the color reproduction image together with information as to a distance in said second color space, said distance noting a color difference of, and corresponding to, two points on the color reproduction image designated by said display plot designation section" in combination with the other claim limitations in claims 1 and 6.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, are as recited in the PTO-892 form.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sajous Wesner whose telephone number is 571-272-7791. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays thru Fridays between 11:00 AM and 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 571-272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,337 Page 7

Art Unit: 2676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wesner Sajous

12/9/05